

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,032	06/20/2003	David Victor Cann	CM1137C2	6356
27752	7590 06/28/2005	•	EXAMINER	
THE PROC	CTER & GAMBLE C	TILL, TERRENCE R		
	TUAL PROPERTY DIV	101011	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1744	
CINCINNATI, OH 45224			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   Applicant(s)   VicToR	J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	ffice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	20050625
Office Action Summary    Examiner	<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/03.</li> </ol>	948) Pap (SB/08) 5) Not	er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
Office Action Summary    Examiner	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International     See the attached detailed Office action for the action for	ne priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	been received in this National So	age
Office Action Summary    Examiner	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been receive	d.	
Office Action Summary    Examiner	<u> </u>	iorojan prioritri undos 25 III	S C & 110(a) (d) az (5)	
Office Action Summary    Examiner	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	correction is required if the di	rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	` '
Office Action Summary  Examiner Terrence R. Till  Total  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply veriefed above is less than thirty (0) days, and publish the statutory initinum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  The period for reply veriefed above is less than thirty (30) days, and publish the statutory initinum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  The period for reply veriefed above is less than thirty (30) days, and publish the statutory initinum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  The mail of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  The mail of this communication of the provision of the provision of the mailing date of this communication.  The mail of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
Office Action Summary    Examiner	_	caminer.		
Office Action Summary    Examiner	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requireme	nt.	
Office Action Summary  Examiner Terrence R. Till  Total  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or excheded period for reply will, by statute, cause the application become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Office Action Summary  Examiner Terrence R. Till  Terrence R. Till			on.	1
Office Action Summary    Examiner	_			
Office Action Summary  Examiner Terrence R. Till  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply sepcified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.	closed in accordance with the practice t	ınder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Office Action Summary  Examiner Terrence R. Till  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			al matters, prosecution as to the r	nerits is
Office Action Summary  Examiner Terrence R. Till  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	_			
Office Action Summary  Examiner  Terrence R. Till  Terrence R. Ter		n		
Office Action Summary  Examiner Terrence R. Till  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  CANN, DAVID VICTOR  Art Unit 1744	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ation.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimu y period will apply and will expire SIX by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Office Action Summary    10/600,032   CANN, DAVID VICTOR     The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	• •	REDIVIS SET TO EXPIR	E 3 MONTH(S) FROM	
Office Action Summary  10/600,032  CANN, DAVID VICTOR  Examiner  Art Unit				ress
10/600,032 CANN, DAVID VICTOR	Office Action Summary		'	
Application No. Applicant(s)	Office Action Comments	10/600,032	CANN, DAVID VIC	ror (
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/600,032

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Interference

- 1. Applicant is required to make a showing under 37 CFR § 41.202(d) (see Notice of Final Rule, 69 Fed. Reg. 49960, 50019 (Aug. 12, 2004)) as to why it would prevail in an interference with the patent. Pursuant to 37 CFR 41.202(c), applicant must also comply with the requirements set forth in 37 CFR 41.202(a)(2)-(a)(6).
- 2. Note, "New evidence in support of priority will not be admitted except on a showing of good cause." 37 CFR § 41.202(d)(2); <u>Hahn v. Wong</u>, 892 F.2d 1028, 13 USPQ 1313 (Fed. Cir. 1989); <u>Huston v. Ladner</u>, 973 F.2d 1564, 23 USPQ2d 1910 (Fed. Cir. 1992). Hence, applicant should not expect to make a showing in the first instance after the application is forwarded to the board for a determination of whether an interference should be declared.
- 3. With that said, the patent to Hohlbein '606 is considered prior art at this point.

#### Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract submitted in not a single paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 6. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification must include the claim terms "wherein at least some of

Art Unit: 1744

the tufts extending from the bottom surface of one of said head sections are of uniformly different lengths", "wherein the free ends of at least some of the tufts extending from one of said head sections form a slant with respect to the lower surface of said head section", "wherein the length of the elastomer between the facing ends of said two head sections varies, said length being measured along the toothbrush longitudinal axis" and the method step of "tufting both the first section and the second section each with a plurality of tufts".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 8. Claims 13-15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 25 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. With respect to claims 13, 17 and 20, the recitation of "wherein the elastomer between the facing ends forms at least one wing portion along the longitudinal axis of the upper surface of the head" is considered new matter as it is not supported by the originally filed disclosure. With respect to claims 14, 15, 18 and 21, the recitation of "wherein the elastomer between the facing ends is generally T shaped" is considered new matter as it is not supported by the originally filed disclosure. With respect to claims 25 and 29, the recitation of "the step of tufting both the first section and the second section is performed after

Application/Control Number: 10/600,032 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

the step of injecting an elastomer into the molded in channel" is considered new matter as it is not supported by the originally filed disclosure. Applicant's alleged support, "The bristles can be inserted into the segments or the elastomer, preferably into the harder material of the segments." does not provide support for when the tufting has been accomplished.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 10. Claims 1-5 and 8-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hohlbein '606 (cited in IDS).
- 11. See figure 3 and Column 5, lines 1-5.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Since the '476 patent to Cann contains claims very similar to the combination of claim 6 and 1, should applicant re-write claim 1 to include claim 6, a double patenting rejection will be considered.

Art Unit: 1744

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrence R. Till whose telephone number is (571) 272-1280. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Thurs. and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sun U. Kim can be reached on (571) 272-1142. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744